

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,383	03/29/2004	Francella Henderson	D9154	3083
43025	7590 09/14/2005		EXAM	IINER
	ASSOCIATES	KIDWELL, MICHELE M		
1334 PARK VIEW AVENUE, SUITE 100 MANHATTAN BEACH, CA 90266			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MANIATIA	in Blacii, CA 70200		3761	
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{O}			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/811,383	HENDERSON, FRANCELLA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michele Kidwell	3761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r l. riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON latute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	01 August 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ -	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Æx parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The use of the trademark VELCRO has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roessler et al. (US 5,405,342).

With respect to claim 1, Roessler et al. (hereinafter "Roessler") discloses a diaper construction comprising a diaper wrap garment constructed of a textile fabric having inner and outer surfaces, a first plurality of hook and loop connector parts (42) secured to the inner surface of the diaper wrap garment in a predetermined configuration; a further pair of hook and loop connector parts secured to the outer surface of the diaper wrap and joinable with certain of the first plurality of connectors (43); a liquid absorbent

Art Unit: 3761

biodegradable insert (21) of generally sheetlike construction, said insert having a geometry closely similar to that of the diaper wrap garment (figure 2) and a second plurality of connectors (63) secured to the insert joinable with certain others of the first plurality of connectors for releasably securing the insert to the diaper wrap garment as set forth in figures 2 – 14.

The difference between Roessler and claim 1 is the provision that the second plurality of connectors is hook and loop.

Roessler discloses that the second plurality of connectors are peelable bonds, but may be replaced with other suitable releasable bonds as set forth in col. 12, lines 46 – 55.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute one type of releasable bond for another since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

As to claim 2, Roessler discloses a diaper in which the insert is constructed of a plurality of liquid absorbent paper sheets as set forth in figure 12.

With reference to claim 3, Roessler discloses a diaper in which the garment and insert are each elongated with generally parallel opposite end edges and opposite side edges curved inwardly toward each other as set forth in figure 12.

Regarding claim 4, absent a critical teaching and/or unexpected result, the examiner contends that the claimed limitation is an obvious matter of design choice that does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

As to claim 5, Roessler discloses a diaper construction in which the diaper wrap garment is pants-like and a cotton material as set forth in col. 6, lines 26 – 33 and in the figures.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 1, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a nonbiodegradable textile material that is not flushable down the toilet and a flushable insert following the shape of a nonflushable garment shell) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 571-272-4935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 5:30am - 2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,383

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michele Kidwell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3761

Page 6